AGENDA
IT STRATEGIC GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE
11:00 – Noon   Technology Commons 102C
April 30, 2015

1. Introductions    All

2. Charge to the committee    Provost Whittaker

3. Overview of the McGladrey findings    Craig Finley

4. Committee operations    Joel Hartman

5. Discussion    All

6. Adjourn
IT Strategic Governance Committee

Minutes
April 30, 2015

Committee Members Present:
Joel Hartman, Jose Fernandez, Paul Jarley, Michael Johnson, Reid Oetjen, Ozlem Garibay, Jeff Jones, Craig Froehlich, Robert Yanckello, Aaron Streimish, Joseph Alcala, Roger Wolf

Committee Members Absent: Ross Hinkle, William Merck, Tracy Clark

Others Present:
Dale Whittaker, Craig Finley, Debbie Pease

MINUTES

Joel Hartman called the inaugural meeting to order at 11:04 a.m.

Introductions of Committee members and guests.

Dr. Dale Whittaker delivered the committee’s charge, noting specific areas of emphasis contained in the McGladrey consulting report.

- Duplication and redundancy of hardware and personnel resources
- Overall IT expenditures higher than industry benchmarks
- Lack of central oversight on campus IT projects and total IT spending
- IT positions are not consistently titled
- Need for additional IT standards and policies
- Heavily decentralized IT support structure and the need for greater adoption of IT shared services
- The need for a top level governance committee to help address IT and other services

Provost Whittaker asked for creativity and a willingness to embrace change. To serve on this committee, specific IT knowledge is not required, but rather a willingness to address issues found in the McGladrey study and develop creative solutions that will benefit the University as a whole.

Craig Finley presented a review of the McGladrey findings.

- Need for the committee to concentrate on mission and goals in regard to technology
- Stressed decisions made by committee should be for overall good and best interest of the University
- Quarterly meetings would be helpful
Committee Operations

- Document to review – Draft charter to discuss at a future meeting
- Proposal to create two additional committees:
  - Associate Deans and Directors: The people to whom IT reports in the Colleges and Divisions
  - IT Managers in various units (IT “boots on the ground”)

Discussion Topics:

- Institutional goals and strategies
- Provost’s charge
- Benchmarks with peer institutions (which peers?)
- ITR.UCF.EDU will contain committee agendas, minutes and other documents
- Need agreement on campus about what group is responsible for what service
- Focus on strategies, rather than operational details
- Seek guidance on current UCF top-level goals and strategies to guide the committee
- Discuss the committee charter at next meeting

Meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

Submitted by: Debbie Pease